



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/749,731	12/28/2000	Katsuhiko Hara	35.C15028	6793
5514 7	590 06/28/2005		EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			TRAN, DOUGLAS Q	
NEW YORK,			EXAMIN	PAPER NUMBER
•			2624	
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/749,731	HARA, KATSUHIKO			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Douglas Q. Tran	2624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CON - Extensions of time may be available under the p after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of t - If the period for reply specified above is less that - If NO period for reply is specified above, the mailing to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.	MMUNICATION. rovisions of 37 CFR 1.13 his communication. n thirty (30) days, a reply kimum statutory period w for reply will, by statute, months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Amendment on 3/7/05</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 15-28 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 15-28 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
	cember 2000 is/all ny objection to the colling the correction	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s).is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Λ						
Attachment(s)		Vamlo	ug_			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(RTQ-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Resident Statement (s) (PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/30/02.		Paper No(s)/Mail D				

Application/Control Number: 09/749,731

Art Unit: 2624

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Specification**

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 15-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.
- 4. For at least claims 15, 22, and 27, the Examiner would consider image data, which is coded by two units such as the first and second coding units, as **the same image data** in the memory unit. The above limitation contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 6. Claims 22-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. For at least claim 22, the step of "an executing step of executing image data coding by the first coding unit and image data coding by the second coding unit in parallel" renders claim to be being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention because where the image data come from so that the image data is coded by two coding units; also the limitation of "an image memory unit" which is lack of functionality.

For at least claim 27, the limitations in the last paragraph of this claim renders claim to be being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention because two coding units can not execute in parallel when the switching unit switches connection **either** from the image unit to the first coding unit **or** the second coding unit.

It is noted that two coding units are only executed in parallel when switching unit switches connection from the image unit to both of coding units. However, two coding units are executed in parallel if the connection is established between the memory unit to either the first coding unit or second coding unit then claims 15-28 are subject to rejection and/or election requirement.

Application/Control Number: 09/749,731

Art Unit: 2624

Page 4

8. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted step is between steps of controlling access and executing. Two steps of an access control step and an executing step are merely independent and not related each other.

## **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas Q. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7442 or E-mail address is douglas.tran@uspto.gov.

Douglas Q. Tran June 15, 2005